


MASTER

RECEIVED BY DTIE JAN 7 1969



OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

operated by

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION

NUCLEAR DIVISION

for the

U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION



ORNL - TM - 2335

SOLUBILITY OF CERIUM TRIFLUORIDE IN MOLTEN MIXTURES OF

LiF , BeF_2 , AND ThF_4

NOTICE This document contains information of a preliminary nature and was prepared primarily for internal use at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. It is subject to revision or correction and therefore does not represent a final report.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

Contract No. W-7405-eng-26

REACTOR CHEMISTRY DIVISION

SOLUBILITY OF CERIUM TRIFLUORIDE IN MOLTEN MIXTURES OF
LiF, BeF₂, AND ThF₄

Judy A. Fredricksen*, L. O. Gilpatrick,
C. J. Barton

JANUARY 1969

* Summer ORAU Participant from St. Cloud State College,
St. Cloud, Minnesota

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION


LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.



CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	1
Introduction	1
Equipment.	2
Materials.	4
Procedure.	5
Results and Discussion	8
References.	21



SOLUBILITY OF CERIUM TRIFLUORIDE IN MOLTEN MIXTURES OF
LiF, BeF₂, AND ThF₄

Judy A. Fredricksen,* L. O. Gilpatrick,
C. J. Barton

ABSTRACT

The solubility of CeF₃ was determined at various temperatures in six mixtures of LiF, BeF₂, ThF₄ of the type that may be used to fuel a molten salt breeder reactor. Comparison of earlier data on the solubility of PuF₃ and CeF₃ in fluoride solvents makes it possible to predict that the solubility of PuF₃ in single-region fuel compositions at reactor operating temperatures will be more than adequate. The solubility data as a function of solvent composition were best correlated by a model that assumes BeF₂ to be complexed as the BeF₄²⁻ ion and ThF₄ as the ThF₅¹⁻ ion.

INTRODUCTION

Studies performed earlier at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory demonstrated the solubility of PuF₃ in certain molten fluoride solvents,¹ but no data are available on the solubility of PuF₃ in single-fluid reactor fuel containing a high concentration of ThF₄. Previous data indicated that the solubility would probably be adequate at proposed reactor

* Summer ORAU Participant from St. Cloud State College, St. Cloud, Minnesota.

operating temperatures. To verify this belief, the most obvious approach would be direct measurement of PuF_3 solubility in molten fluoride salts of interest, but we have chosen to study the solubility of another trivalent fluoride, CeF_3 , because of its similar behavior and simpler handling. There is evidence indicating that PuF_3 and CeF_3 are quite similar in their solubility behavior in fluoride melts.^{2,3} A thorough study of the effect on the solubility of CeF_3 of varying the concentrations of LiF , BeF_2 and ThF_4 in melts will indicate the probable solubility of PuF_3 in such melts. This investigation may be followed by limited determinations of PuF_3 solubility to confirm predictions based on the CeF_3 investigation. The chemical feasibility of fueling molten salt reactors with PuF_3 has been considered in another report.⁴

This report gives the results obtained to date in an investigation on the solubility of CeF_3 in mixed LiF , BeF_2 and ThF_4 molten fluoride solvents.

EQUIPMENT

The reaction vessel in which the solubility measurements were made was a welded cylindrical nickel container with an internal diameter of $1\frac{1}{2}$ in., a depth of $6\frac{1}{2}$ in., and a wall thickness of $1/8$ in. A seven-inch section of $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. I.D. nickel pipe was welded to the lid and was closed at the top with a stainless steel ball valve having an internal clearance of $\frac{1}{2}$ in. This cooling and loading stem was also equipped with a $1/4$ -in. gas discharge port welded near its upper end. An

additional opening in the lid accommodated a thermocouple well of 1/4-in. thin wall (10 mil) nickel tubing closed at the lower end, which extended to within 1/8 in. of the bottom. The remaining lid opening held a 1/4-in. nickel dip leg of heavy wall (35 mil) nickel tubing extending to within 1/4 in. of the vessel bottom to facilitate agitation and purification of the melt by admitting gases beneath the melt surface.

The vessel was mounted vertically in a 3-in., 1400-watt electric tube furnace whose temperature was regulated by means of a chromel-alumel thermocouple placed between the furnace wall and the vessel and a high-sensitivity bucking circuit controller.

Melt temperatures were determined by a second chromel-alumel thermocouple located in the thermocouple well surrounded by the melt. An ice bath, a standard cell, and a Leeds and Northrup type K-2 potentiometer were used to measure the E.M.F. in this measuring circuit. Temperatures were deduced from standard tables of temperature versus E.M.F.

Copper filter sticks were constructed from 3/8-in. diameter sintered disk fritts 1/8-in. thick with a nominal pore size of 0.0004 in. These were welded into sections of 3/8-in. O.D. tubing 1/2-in. long. At the opposite end, this tube was reduced in diameter and welded to a 20-in. length of 1/8-in. O.D. tubing. These tubes were slipped through a Teflon gland made to fit a 1/2-in. Swagelok tubing connector and compressed by a standard connector collar. This gland formed a vacuum tight

seal around the 1/8-in. filter tube but it allowed movement of the filter through the gland which was fitted to the top end of the ball valve. Sufficient space was provided between the closed ball of the valve and the gland to accommodate the filter unit for evacuation and flushing with helium prior to admitting it to the clean (oxide free) melt. A piece of soft rubber tubing which fitted over the free end of the filter stick could be connected to either a vacuum pump or a helium source. A manifold system consisting of valves, pressure gages, and flow meters controlled admission of helium, hydrogen, HF or application of vacuum to the apparatus.

Samples containing ^{144}Ce tracer were analyzed using a 256-channel or 400-channel analyzer at fixed geometry with a 3 x 3 in. NaI, thallium activated crystal. Sample preparation and weighing was done in a hood equipped with a dust containment glove box.

MATERIALS

Some of the compositions used in these studies were supplied by J. H. Shaffer and F. A. Doss of ORNL. Mixtures of LiF, BeF_2 , and ThF_4 (72-16-12 and 68-20-12 mole %) were used as received as was a mixture of LiF and ThF_4 (73-27 mole %). The (72.7-4.8-22.5) mixture was prepared by adding 53 g of (72-16-12) to 198 g of the 73-27 residue left in the vessel after previous solubility determinations. Likewise a mixture calculated to have the composition (72.3-11.0-16.7) was prepared by adding 195.5 g of (72-16-12) to 225.1 g of (73.1-4.8-22.4) remaining

at the end of a series of measurements. A mixture having the composition (67.8-25.2-7.0) was prepared by mixing 94.7 g of 66 LiF - 34 BeF₂ with 102.1 g of the 73-27 preparation.

Ten millicuries of ¹⁴⁴Ce in the form of an aqueous HCl solution was secured from the Isotopes Division at ORNL. This was mixed with a solution containing 308 g of CeCl₃ x H₂O.* The resulting solution was heated to 90°C and digested with 214 g of NH₄F·HF** dissolved in 1000 ml of H₂O, which yielded a homogeneous precipitate of CeF₃ containing the radioisotope. This precipitate was washed with distilled water four times and centrifuged before drying at 110°C for 24 hours.

Commercial hydrogen was purified by passage through a Deoxo unit, a magnesium perchlorate drying tube, and a liquid N₂ trap. Anhydrous HF (99.9%), was used from the cylinder as received without purification. Commercial helium was purified by passage through an Ascarite trap, a magnesium perchlorate trap, and a charcoal trap at liquid N₂ temperature.

PROCEDURE

Helium leak testing was done at room temperature prior to loading the unit until a vacuum of at least 74 microns was secured. A weighed amount of fuel salt, usually about 250 grams, and more than the amount of CeF₃ expected to dissolve at the maximum temperature were added to the vessel through

* A. D. MacKay, Inc., C.P. grade.

** Baker and Adamson, technical grade.

the open ball valve by means of a long-necked funnel. The apparatus was then connected to the manifold system. A heated sodium fluoride trap was placed at the outlet to prevent HF from escaping into the hood. This was followed by a bubbler to indicate when gas was flowing through the system.

Purification was carried out at about 625°C by treating the melt with gaseous HF (20 ml/min), H₂ (100 ml/min), and helium (100 ml/min) for at least three hours. Hydrogen fluoride eliminated any products of hydrolysis resulting from adsorbed water on the surface of the fuel salt by converting them to fluorides. The hydrogen helped to minimize the corrosiveness of the HF by reducing any NiF₂ produced to Ni while the helium served as a carrier gas. Next, the melt was subjected to two hours of hydrogen (100 ml/min) -- helium (100 ml/min) treatment to complete the reduction of any NiF₂ formed.

Hydrogen and helium flow rates were measured by rotameters calibrated with a "Bubble-O-Meter." The hydrogen fluoride flow rate was measured by passing the gas mixture through a measured volume of 0.1 M KOH solution using phenolphthalein as an indicator and a stop watch to determine the time necessary for neutralization.

The mixture in the apparatus was allowed to equilibrate for one hour starting at the highest sampling temperature while agitation was maintained by a slow helium flow of 30 ml per minute. Each melt, with an excess of CeF₃, formed a

saturated solution at the selected temperature which was then sampled to determine the concentration of CeF_3 in the filtered melt. Sampling was performed by assembling the filter stick, after polishing with steel wool to remove the oxide coating, and the gland above the closed ball valve. This area was sealed by tightening the threaded collar around the Teflon gland, and a vacuum was applied followed by flushing with helium to remove air. This flush was repeated before the ball valve was opened and the filter was inserted to within 1/2-in. of the vessel bottom. A small flow of helium was maintained through the filter stick while it was being inserted and submerged. Five or ten minutes was allowed for the filter to reach the melt temperature before the helium flow was stopped and a vacuum was applied to the stem. The salt froze in the 1/8-in. diameter cold stem of the filter stick. Samples were withdrawn slowly to protect the Teflon gland from over heating. After closing the ball valve, the filter stick was removed by disassembling the gland and compression collar. It was then cut open and emptied in the glove box where the melt samples were ground and 50 mg \pm 3 mg was weighed from each filter for counting and one gram for wet chemical analysis.

The 50 mg portions of ground salt were weighed and placed in plastic vials 1-in. in diameter and 2-in. high. Six samples were drawn at 40^oC intervals ending at about 20^oC above the melting point of the salt compositions. Seven channels centering around the most energetic γ disintegration at

0.124 M.E.V. were integrated during the counting which was done mostly for one minute intervals.

Analyzing the samples radiochemically involved preparing standard samples made for each molten salt mixture consisting of 50 mg of solvent ($\pm 5\%$) plus varying amounts of accurately weighed CeF_3 tracer salt. A blank was also prepared which contained only the solvent which was used to determine the value to be subtracted from the total count to correct for the gamma activity of thorium daughter products. The net counting rate obtained for each standard was plotted on a linear scale against milligrams of labeled cerium fluoride. These calibration data made it possible to determine the number of milligrams of CeF_3 present in each sample from the count rate. From these values we calculated the mole percent of cerium fluoride present in each molten salt mixture at the various temperatures. No adjustment was made for radioactive decay since the half life of ^{144}Ce is 285 days and all counting for a given set of samples, including calibrations, was performed in sequence on a single day.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data obtained are plotted in Figs. 1-6, inclusive. Both radiochemical and wet chemical analysis are shown in these plots. In general, the agreement between the two methods of analysis is considered quite satisfactory. In some cases, comparison of the data required rechecking the wet chemical analyses and, in others, re-examination of the radiochemical




Fig. 2. Solubility of CeF₃ in LiF-ThF₄ (73-27 mole %).




Fig. 3. Solubility of CeF₃ in LiF-BeF₂-ThF₄ (72.7-4.8-22.5 mole %).




Fig. 4. Solubility of CeF₃ in LiF-BeF₂-ThF₄ (68-20-12 mole %).

ORNL-DWG 68-12067




Fig. 5. Solubility of CeF₃ in LiF-BeF₂-ThF₄ (72.3-11.0-16.7 mole %).




Fig. 6. Solubility of CeF₃ in LiF-BeF₂-ThF₄ (67.8-25.2-7.0 mole %).

calibration values. It is apparent in Figs. 3, 5, and 6 that insufficient CeF_3 was present in the system to saturate the melts at the highest temperatures. The data are summarized in Table 1 together with heats of solution calculated from the relation

$$\log \frac{S_2}{S_1} = \frac{\Delta H(T_2 - T_1)}{2.303 RT_1 T_2}$$

where S_2 is the solubility (in mole %) at the higher temperature and S_1 is the corresponding value at the lower temperature. The heats of solution are in approximately the same range as those reported¹ for solutions of PuF_3 in various fluoride solvents (12,000 to 16,800 cal per mole).

The solubility data obtained in this investigation are very reassuring in respect to the potential use of PuF_3 as the fissionable species in single-region fuel compositions. The lowest solubility observed at 600°C was 1.05 mole %. A comparison of PuF_3 solubility data¹ with similar values reported² for CeF_3 in Fig. 7 indicates that the solubility of PuF_3 at 600°C may be less than 1.0 mole % but will almost certainly exceed the few tenths mole % value required to fuel a single region breeder reactor.

Bredig has suggested⁵ that the "free fluoride" content of liquid mixtures of LiF , BeF_2 , and ThF_4 can be calculated from the following relation in terms of mole %:

$$\text{Free fluoride} = \text{LiF} - 2(\text{BeF}_2) - 3(\text{ThF}_4)$$

This relation is based on the assumption that LiF is complexed

Table 1. Solubility and Heat of Solution of CeF_3
in Mixtures of LiF , BeF_2 , and ThF_4

Salt Composition (mole %)			CeF ₃ Solubility (mole %)		Heat of Solution (cal per mole)
<u>LiF</u>	<u>BeF₂</u>	<u>ThF₄</u>	<u>600°C</u>	<u>800°C</u>	
72	16	12	1.6	5.5	11,500
73	0	27	2.6	9.0	11,560
72.7	4.8	22.5	2.4	7.9	11,100
68	20	12	1.35	6.0	13,890
72.3	11.0	16.7	2.1	6.3	10,230
67.8	25.2	7.0	1.05	5.0	14,530




Fig. 7. Comparison of CeF₃ and PuF₃ Solubility in LiF-BeF₂ Solvents.

as Li_2BeF_4 and Li_3ThF_7 in the liquid state. It is interesting to test this concept with the CeF_3 solubility data reported here. The resulting graph (Fig. 8) shows a rather poor correlation based on this relationship. A somewhat better correlation, shown in Fig. 9, results from the assumption that BeF_2 is complexed in the liquid state as Li_2BeF_4 and ThF_4 as LiThF_5 . The latter assumption has a rather shaky basis since the published phase diagram⁶ for the system LiF-ThF_4 indicates that the 1:1 compound (as it is now known to be⁷) melts incongruently. The only defense for this assumption is that five of the six compositions tested to date show solubility data that correlate on this basis.

REFERENCES

1. C. J. Barton, J. Phys. Chem. 64, 306 (1960).
2. W. T. Ward, R. A. Strehlow, W. R. Grimes, and G. M. Watson, J. Chem. Eng. Data 5, 2 (1960).
3. C. J. Barton, Memo to P. R. Kasten, June 4, 1968, MSR 68-88.
4. R. E. Thoma, Chemical Feasibility of Fueling Molten Salt Reactors with PuF_3 , ORNL-TM-2256, June 20, 1968.
5. M. A. Bredig, Memo to W. R. Grimes, April 26, 1968, MSR 68-75.
6. R. E. Thoma et al, J. Phys. Chem. 63, 1267 (1959).
7. G. Brunton, Acta Cryst. 21(5), 814 (1966).

ORNL-DWG 68-12069




Fig. 8. Solubility of CeF₃ As A Function of Free LiF Assuming Li₂BeF₄ and Li₃ThF₇ In Liquid.




Fig. 9. Solubility of CeF₃ As A Function of Free LiF Assuming Li₂BeF₄ and LiThF₅ in Liquid.

REFERENCES

1. C. J. Barton, J. Phys. Chem. 64, 306 (1960).
2. W. T. Ward, R. A. Strehlow, W. R. Grimes, and G. W. Watson, J. Chem. Eng. Data 5, 2 (1960).
3. C. J. Barton, Memo to P. R. Kasten, June 4, 1968, MSR 68-88.
4. R. E. Thoma, Chemical Feasibility of Fueling Molten Salt Reactors with PuF₃, ORNL-TM-2256, June 20, 1968.
5. M. A. Bredig, Memo to W. R. Grimes, April 26, 1968, MSR 68-75.
6. R. E. Thoma et al, J. Phys. Chem. 63, 1267 (1959).
7. G. D. Brunton, Acta Cryst. 21(5), 814 (1966).

.

;

.

.

.

.

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1.	R. K. Adams	57.	D. R. Cuneo
2.	G. M. Adamson	58.	J. M. Dale
3.	R. G. Affel	59.	D. G. Davis
4.	L. G. Alexander	60.	R. J. DeBakker
5.	J. L. Anderson	61.	J. H. DeVan
6.	R. F. Apple	62.	S. J. Ditto
7.	C. F. Baes	63.	A. S. Dworkin
8.	J. M. Baker	64.	I. T. Dudley
9.	S. J. Ball	65.	D. A. Dyslin
10.	C. E. Bamberger	66.	W. P. Eatherly
11-21.	C. J. Barton	67.	J. R. Engel
22.	H. F. Bauman	68.	E. P. Epler
23.	S. E. Beall	69.	D. E. Ferguson
24.	R. L. Beatty	70.	L. M. Ferris
25.	M. J. Bell	71.	A. P. Fraas
26.	M. Bender	72-81.	Judy Fredricksen
27.	C. E. Bettis	82.	H. A. Friedman
28.	E. S. Bettis	83.	J. H. Frye, Jr.
29.	D. S. Billington	84.	C. H. Gabbard
30.	R. E. Blanco	85.	R. B. Gallaher
31.	F. F. Blankenship	86.	R. E. Gelbach
32.	J. O. Blomeke	87.	J. H. Gibbons
33.	R. Blumberg	88.	L. O. Gilpatrick
34.	E. G. Bohlmann	89.	H. E. Goeller
35.	C. J. Borkowski	90.	W. R. Grimes
36.	G. E. Boyd	91.	A. G. Grindell
37.	C. A. Brandon	92.	R. W. Gunkel
38.	M. A. Bredig	93.	E. D. Gupton
39.	R. B. Briggs	94.	R. H. Guymon
40.	H. R. Bronstein	95.	J. P. Hammond
41.	G. D. Brunton	96.	B. A. Hannaford
42.	D. A. Canonico	97.	P. H. Harley
43.	S. Cantor	98.	D. G. Harman
44.	W. L. Carter	99.	W. O. Harms
45.	G. I. Cathers	100.	C. S. Harrill
46.	O. B. Cavin	101.	P. N. Haubenreich
47.	A. Cepolino	102.	R. E. Helms
48.	W. R. Cobb	103.	P. G. Herndon
49.	C. W. Collins	104.	D. N. Hess
50.	E. L. Compere	105.	J. R. Hightower
51.	K. V. Cook	106.	M. R. Hill
52.	W. H. Cook	107.	H. W. Hoffman
53.	L. T. Corbin	108.	D. K. Holmes
54.	B. Cox	109.	P. P. Holz
55.	J. L. Crowley	110.	R. W. Horton
56.	F. L. Culler	111.	T. L. Hudson

112. H. Inouye
113. W. H. Jordan
114. P. R. Kasten
115. R. J. Kedl
116. O. L. Keller
117. M. T. Kelley
118. M. J. Kelly
119. C. R. Kennedy
120. T. W. Kerlin
121. H. T. Kerr
122. S. S. Kirslis
123. J. W. Koger
124. A. I. Krakoviak
125. T. S. Kress
126. J. W. Krewson
127. C. E. Lamb
128. J. A. Lane
129. J. J. Lawrence
130. M. S. Lin
131. R. B. Lindauer
132. A. P. Litman
133. G. H. Llewellyn
134. E. L. Long
135. M. I. Lundin
136. R. N. Lyon
137. R. L. Macklin
138. H. G. MacPherson
139. R. E. MacPherson
140. J. C. Mailen
141. D. L. Manning
142. C. D. Martin
143. T. H. Mauney
144. H. McClain
145. R. W. McClung
146. H. E. McCoy
147. H. F. McDuffie
148. C. K. McGlothlan
149. C. J. McHargue
150. L. E. McNeese
151. J. R. McWherter
152. H. J. Metz
153. A. S. Meyer
154. R. L. Moore
155. D. M. Moulton
156. T. R. Mueller
157. H. A. Nelms
158. J. P. Nichols
159. E. L. Nicholson
160. E. D. Nogueira
161. L. C. Oakes
162. P. Patriarca
163. A. M. Perry
164. T. W. Pickel
165. H. B. Piper
166. B. E. Prince
167. G. L. Ragan
168. J. L. Redford
169. M. Richardson
170. G. D. Robbins
171. R. C. Robertson
172. W. C. Robinson
173. H. C. Roller
174. K. A. Romberger
175. M. W. Rosenthal
176. R. G. Ross
177. H. C. Savage
178. W. F. Schaffer
179. C. E. Schilling
180. Dunlap Scott
181. J. L. Scott
182. H. E. Seagren
183. C. E. Sessions
184. J. H. Shaffer
185. W. H. Sides
186. M. J. Skinner
187. G. M. Slaughter
188. A. N. Smith
189. F. J. Smith
190. G. P. Smith
191. O. L. Smith
192. P. G. Smith
193. I. Spiewak
194. R. C. Steffy
195. W. C. Stoddard
196. H. H. Stone
197. R. A. Strehlow
198. J. R. Tallackson
199. E. H. Taylor
200. W. Terry
201. R. E. Thoma
202. P. F. Thomason
203. L. M. Toth
204. D. B. Trauger
205. J. S. Watson
206. H. L. Watts
207. C. F. Weaver
208. B. H. Webster
209. A. M. Weinberg
210. J. R. Weir
211. W. J. Werner
212. K. W. West
213. M. E. Whatley
214. J. C. White
215. L. V. Wilson

- 216. Gale Young
- 217. H. C. Young
- 218. J. P. Young
- 219. E. L. Youngblood
- 220. F. C. Zapp
- 221-222. Central Research Library
- 223-224. Document Reference Section
- 225-239. Laboratory Records (LRD)
 - 240. Laboratory Records - Record Copy (LRD-RC)

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- 241-242. D. F. Cope, AEC-ORNL R.D.T. Site Office
- 243. J. W. Crawford, AEC-RDT, Washington
- 244. C. B. Deering, AEC-ORO
- 245. A. Giambusso; AEC-Washington
- 246. W. J. Larkin, AEC-ORO
- 247. C. L. Matthews, AEC-ORO
- 248-249. T. W. McIntosh, AEC, Washington
- 250. C. E. Miller, Jr., AEC, Washington
- 251. B. T. Resnich, AEC, Washington
- 252. H. M. Roth, Laboratory and University Division
- 253. Milton Shaw, AEC, Washington
- 254. W. L. Smalley, AEC-ORO
- 255. R. F. Sweek, AEC, Washington
- 256-270. Division of Technical Information Extension (DTIE)